

# **Photon Detectors**

D. Prober , Yale Univ. Depts. Applied Physics and Physics with thanks for collaborators at

Arizona, Caltech, GSFC, JPL, U Mass, Yale and their partners





## <u>Outline</u>

- Types of sensors; motivations
- Basic concepts; applications
- TESs x-ray; visible/NIR; THz/FIR
- STJs, KIDs soft x-rays
- Nanowires 'click'; visible/NIR

# Why single photon?

- <u>Weak sources; spectroscopy</u>
- Encode information, entangle
- Timing, coincidence
- Measure <u>particle</u> energy
- Speed is important = challenge in cold env.
- <u>Arrays</u> = key enabler for most future applications
- <u>Energy scales</u>  $1 \text{ eV} = 1.2 \ \mu\text{m} = 250 \text{ THz}$  $1 \text{ meV} = 1.2 \ \text{mm} = 0.25 \text{ THz}$

## **Definitions**

• <u>Thermal</u> - Bolometer – P(t)

NEP  $\approx 10^{-19} \text{ W/(Hz)}^{1/2}$  (space goal)

- Calorimeter – E(t)  $\Delta E \approx NEP \tau^{1/2}$ 

- <u>Excitation</u> detector same functions; QPs  $\approx$  meV  $\Delta E/E \approx \Delta n/n \approx n^{-1/2} \rightarrow$  for x-ray,  $\Delta E/E = 10^{-3}$
- <u>Nanowire</u> I ≤ I<sub>c</sub>; fast, sensitive, no energy res.
   ≈ PMT
- <u>Multiplex</u> SQUID or μwave resonators

#### Bolometer Detector – Thermal → cold + small



## <u>Sensitivity</u> Considerations

NEP =  $\Delta P/(Hz)^{1/2}$ =  $(4kT^2 G)^{1/2} W/(Hz)^{1/2}$ 

 $\tau$  = C/G, want C small

'small is beautiful'; cold is essential

 $\Delta E \approx (kT^2C)^{1/2} = variance$ 



## **Basic Transition Edge Sensor Operation**

Superconducting wire (the TES) is used as a thermometer – read out changes of resistance electrically.

Superconducting transition-edge sensor SQUID Signal  $l(t) = V_{rin}/R(t)$ 

Typical SC transition  $T_c < 1K$ 

Voltage bias → faster response, more sensitive

SQUIDs essential for low T multiplexing, low noise

Low count rates for astro xray applications  $\approx 100/sec$ 

Kilbourne, TIPP09



# s James Clerk Maxwell Telescope



SCUBA-2

Moseley, Applied Superconductivity Conf., 2008









Applied Superconductivity Conference

# X-Ray TES structure



Thick Au/Bi absorber, weak attach Mo/Au bilayer TES, non-SC stripes reduce noise TES is thermometer only msec response



#### Kilbourne, TIPP09

#### <u>Array-scale read-out using NIST time-division</u> multiplexing *(Irwin, Doriese)*



- 2 x 2 array is shown as example of *N*-row by *M*-column array
- TDM operation:
  - each TES coupled to its own SQ1
  - TESs stay on all the time
  - rows of SQ1s turned on and off sequentially
  - wait for transients to settle, sample  $I_{\text{TES}}$ , move on
  - SQUIDs are nonlinear amplifiers, so use digital feedback
  - V<sub>er</sub> sampled, V<sub>FB</sub> stored for next visit to pixel
  - each column: interleaved data stream of pixels

Kilbourne, TIPP09

#### <u>Au/Bi Absorbers (~ 1 μm Au, 4 μm Bi)</u> on SiN membrane; msec response





- Use thick Si, not SiN membrane;  $G_{th}$  isolation is from TES-subst. boundary resistance only <u>not</u> thin SiN.  $\tau = C/G (1+L) L = loop$  gain of el-th feedback
- *BUT*, get some events with less energy = hits in the stem,  $\rightarrow$  loss to subst.
- Use thicker absorbers stop more photons away from sensitive stems
  - Solar applications: 250->50  $\mu m$  pitch, 10  $\mu m$  thick Au (same total heat capacity)
    - $\Rightarrow$  99.95% absorption in 10  $\mu m$
    - $\Rightarrow$  99.0% absorption in 6  $\mu$ m

Smith et al., LTD 13

X-ray Detectors – other options may work

- for close-packed arrays, meander geometries are promising
  - arrays of superconducting Nb meanders onto each of which a layer of magnetic material (Au:Er) is deposited
  - when a current passes through the meander, a magnetic field is produced in the magnetic material.
     No additional applied field required.
     Use SQUID readout.







Kilbourne, TIPP09; citing GSFC team

## TES for Visible and NIR

#### **Quantum Information**

- Quantum Optics
- Quantum Information Processing (e.g. Linear Optics, Quantum Computing, Quantum Key Distribution)

#### Detector requirements desired:

- High efficiency (95% at 1550 nm)
- Low dark counts / errors (Blackbody limited 1550 nm)
- Number resolving capability (0.26 eV FWHM)
- Wavelength tunability (1550nm, 850nm)
- Fast recovery time (< 1ms), Low jitter (100ns)

#### Optical Structures to Enhance Detection Efficiency A.Lita et al. Optics Express 16, 3032-3040 (2008)

- Optical stack increases probability of absorption in TES material
- Careful measurements of optical constants for all thin film layers
- Materials compatibility below 1 K



• 95% ± 2% system detection efficiency for 1550 nm optimized TES DP: T = 0.18 K;  $\Delta E$  = 0.29 eV vs. 0.18 eV  $\tau \approx 1 \mu s$ ; 40% of en. Collected Room-Temp BB photons are a problem

#### <u>Measurement setup (Lita et al.)</u> <u>Self-alignment scheme TES = 25 µm</u>





A. Lita LTD13

#### <u>Hf TES in Optical Stack – for 850 nm -- no $\alpha$ -Si</u>



A. Lita LTD13

#### THz/FIR Single Photon Det.-

- photon counting > 1 THz (  $\lambda$  = 300 µm)



B. Karasik

#### Phonon Cooled HEBs at Yale (Nb; for R.T. sources)

Double Dipole Antenna, 1.2 THz Design<sup>†</sup>; 1 x 2.5  $\mu$ m Bridge Total of 22 chips fabricated and shipped: 9 for Caltech, 7 for JPL, 6 for Yale



<sup>†</sup> Double dipole antenna design made with advice from Anders Skalare at JPL D.Santavicca, Yale

#### Antenna-Coupled Ti TES Nanobolometer

Small Ti volume = fast and sensitive
 → no substrate in heat capacity
 Higher superconducting gap in Nb confines excitations in the Ti

Want completely shielded environment -but- want fast interrogation (readout) which could perturb detector and let in stray photons

<u>Challenge</u>: P<sub>sat</sub> ~ 1 fW but at T = 0.3 K blackbody = 30 fW! (single-mode) Superconducting Ti nanobridge,  $T_c = 0.3 K$ 



From B. Karasik, JPL

#### RF reflection changes on the transition



### Testing with Fauxtons



- Testing in a dark environment; no stray photons P << 1fW
- Arbitrary tunability of fauxton energy
- Can "sneak up" on hardest problems; optimize device fabrication, performance, and signal processing while a THz single-photon test system is developed

## Testing with Fauxtons



Experimental schematic for fauxton testing Trigger signal used

D.Santavicca, Yale

## Testing with Fauxtons: Energy Resolution



- Future:
- Present device: 4 x 0.35 x 0.07  $\mu$ m; T<sub>c</sub> = 0.3 K; smaller volume and T<sub>c</sub> = 0.2 K  $\rightarrow \Delta E_{device,th} = 0.8$  THz
- Lower noise amplifier SQUID or Jos. paramp (Y)

#### Future sensitivity challenges in space

Future spectroscopic space missions featuring cryocooled (4-5 K) primary mirrors (e.g., SPICA, SAFIR, CALISTO, SPECS) will require a ~ 3-order of magnitude detector sensitivity improvement



Photon integration below 1 THz
Photon counting above 1 THz Karasik&Sergeev, *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2005 -- see Karasik (SQUID),

Santavicca

## STJ (excitation) detector



Photon breaks Cooper pairs  $\rightarrow$ 2 quasiparticles/photon initially, multiply by cascade to n  $\approx E_{ph}/E_{g}$ , then tunnel thru oxide barrier  $\delta n$  = statistical variation in n



STJ – high impedance → charge division imaging = DROID

P. Verhoeve, LTD 13

## DROIDS (ESA) – faster than TES, worse resolution

- Distributed Read-Out Imaging Detectors
- Find E from the <u>2</u> pulses



 $\Delta E= 16.6 \text{ eV} @ 5.9 \text{ keV}$  (tunnel limit 7.0 eV)

 $\Delta E= 12 \text{ eV} @ 5.9 \text{ keV}$ , Yale, Lin et al.

- Much stronger trapping and smaller tunnel limit if Al-Ox-Al junctions are on the side of Ta absorber = Yale approach.

P. Verhoeve, LTD 13

#### <u>First Results On The Imaging Capabilities Of A</u> <u>DROID Array In The UV/Visible</u>



R.A. Hijmering, et al., LTD13

#### Timing info

- 3x20 DROID array 33.5x 360  $\mu$  m<sup>2</sup>
- 5.5 x S-Cam 3; photons from back side
- 11 'pixels' per DROID; 660 'pixels' total
- Measured in S-Cam3 system (single STJs)
- Offline coincident events determination
- Testing, development in progress

## Submm STJ Detector –



Teufel, Schoelkopf, D.P. (Yale) + GSFC fab

## <u>Soft X-Ray Spectrometer Using 100-Pixel</u> <u>STJ Detectors for Synchrotron Radiation</u> – advantage is count rate; no imaging



Advantages of STJ-XAFS •Separation of light elements due to good energy resolution ( < 30 eV ) •High sensitivity in soft X-ray (< 1 keV ) •Large solid angle coverage of 10<sup>-2</sup> sr •Fast response, >10<sup>6</sup> cps @ 100-pixel •Automated operation (Pulse tube + <sup>3</sup><u>He</u>) •Energy resolution – fine control from monochromator, <u>not</u> STJ

Shigetomo Shiki, (AIST)-LTD13

#### Soft X-Ray Beam Line use of STJs

need count rate of STJ; does not need TES resolution; no imaging

Natl. Inst. of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) 0.2 – 2 keV Stanford SSRL - 112 pixels LBL-ALS - 9 pixels – S. Friedrich, LLNL



Also, biomolecule energy + time-of-flight; using phonons to break pairs

#### Microwave Kinetic Inductance Detector

Absorb photon in SC quarter-wavelength resonator.

Inductance = magnetic + kinetic

 $\frac{1}{2} L_{K} I^{2} = \frac{1}{2} n_{p} m v^{2}$  $L_{K} \approx 1/n_{p}$  $\delta n_{p} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta n_{qp}$ 





00

#### Microwave Kinetic Inductance Detector



Enablers:

low T/microwave expertise need for more channels STJ concepts SIS rf design digital signal processing

First demo: mm-wave camera

#### Democam – 16 pixels, each 2 'colors' 230, 350 GHz

- bigger, better, more colors: on the way; pixel is >>  $\lambda$ 



#### Democam – mm-wave camera

Nb microstrip to couple mm-wavelength photons from antenna to a lossy Al strip; creates qps in Al.

Nb CPW resonator keeps qps in Al; qps last long

Nb CPW has high Q; Nb microstrip is more lossy, but is low impedance so losses ≈ ok.



Maloney, LTD13

#### Democam – 16 pixels, each 2 'colors' 230, 350 GHz

- bigger, better, more colors: on the way; pixel is >>  $\lambda$ 



## **MKID Challenges**

- <u>Two level systems (in insulator)</u> phase noise
   Solutions: T; materials development; interdigitated C; rf power (may also affect n<sub>qp</sub>)
- <u>Lifetimes of qps</u> film quality Barends; Wilson (Yale, 2001)  $\tau_{rec}$  > ms in Al; rel to Q?
- KID concept, room T array electronics hard, novel, and successful – first app. in submm "The detector fabrication is simple, requiring only ≈6 levels of lithography" Maloney, LTD 13, 2009

## Nanowire Optical Coupling

- slides: E. Dauler and A.J. Kerman



Meander pattern - Yale Nb device

Performance shown below for MIT/LL devices made from NbN films K. Ro

electron-beam and optical lithography



#### Cavity structure + AR coating improves coupling to ~ 85%

K. Rosfjord, J.K.W. Yang, E.A. Dauler, A.J. Kerman, V. Anant, G. Gol'tsman, B. Voronov, and K.K. Berggren, Optics Express 14, P. 527 (2006)

This work is sponsored by the United States Air Force under Air Force Contract #FA8721-05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretations, recommendations and conclusions are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Government

## Photon Detection Mechanism



## Photon Detection Mechanism



## Photon Detection Mechanism



## **Device Characteristics**







## **Detector Design and Packaging**

- Interleaved pattern
- Circular active area
- Cavity structure



[Kerman, A., unpublished]

## Multi-element SNSPD Achievements

Metric		Achieved
P(detection)     photon     pulse	System Detection Efficiency	~50% at 1550 nm wavelength
	Timing Jitter	30 ps timing jitter per element
	Reset Time	~9 ns reset time per element → ~400 MC/s at ~full efficiency
	Dark Counts	< 1 kHz dark counts per channel at full efficiency
8 →	Photon Number Resolution (PNR)	PNR with independent 30 ps photon timing
	Arrays	4 elements operated simultaneously

## **Conclusions**

- <u>TES</u> mature for x-ray arrays,
  - visible/NIR pixels, excellent det. efficiency;
  - THz -- future work
- <u>STJ</u> fast, on x-ray beam lines
- <u>KID</u> rapid development; single-photon more research needed
- <u>Nanowire</u> v. fast, good det. efficiency QKD
- <u>Development of arrays VERY promising</u>

Thanks to colleagues who shared 'slides'.

## **Counting Simultaneous Photons**



E.A. Dauler, A. J. Kerman, B. S. Robinson, J. K. W. Yang, B. Voronov, G. Gol'tsman, S.A. Hamilton, and K.K. Berggren, *Journal of Modern Optics*, 56, 364-373 (2008).